A New Look at the Jones Polynomial i QFT

Edward Wiffen IAS, 2014

Somes Polynomial. [vertes model] Knots in 3-milds Cahen a knot projection to Zidin plane of any simple crossings 's only simple max/min of the height Fn. Remore the crossings & max/min. Were $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right)$ left of Finilely many pieces. Label the preces by t/- Then introduce widte q i put local factors: has, Suy, 13 Correctings & maxfund. Cig. Non time ac Z¹⁵ pres, Ble labertys $-\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$ + 0Also have factors for creation 's annihilation (from the Seconds physics-y mari cmm) the QM/QET $+ \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} - \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} + \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} - \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} + \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} - \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} + \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} - \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\ i \neq 1}} + \bigcup_$

Get local factors for all possible labelings } like statistical mech. maltiply Rom all. Then 5mm all the products together. The Sum B a Lannent polynomial in g1/2 we call this the Jones polynomizel. Claim: It is independent of the projection if thus a (framed) knot marint. Framing = tourislization of the normal bundle to the Knot The franking boes depend on the projection int that Just introduces powers of gin Front: 2" J(K) Jones poly can be dethed for any Lie grap 6 ? representation R. eg. G=SU(2), R= sph=zvep.

Calso, a challenge From Atizah, I How hi) In 1988, Witten, y advice from Alizah fame a description of Jones poly wing Zeden gange themy, let Gregangegø, A=gange field. om M³ (connection) (connection) on M³ Claim. The only gonger waring for f A that can be written as integration over M by some local expression Cossuming as structure on Maker than contentation) is the Cherry Strong functional: Need G-budle to be trivial. Lor action) If G=Su(2), it always is. (S(A) = 4x J Tr (AndA + Z AnAnA). It is on invariant, mod Zr. Z Partition Function of Chern Strong Theory on M? Z_k(M) = to fuexp(ik (S(A)) DA space of all connections KEZ I Expresse, M reads to be formed

To introdue a knot K: use holonomy of A. Wilson loop eperator: Aich irrep R on K { defne - path ordering operator $W_R[k] = T_r P exp f A$ Fransport det: Gren M, K, still need forming on M ; K. $Z_k(M, K, R) = \frac{1}{(N)} e_{KP}(i_k CS(A)) \cdot W_R(K) DA$ Does this make sense? Apprently, the litmus test is recompatization to define it quantum mechanically. This has to do inf counter terms & anomaltes. Comment: usual CS can't be renormalized. Is that the? IF M = R³, R-tre Zdim rep, then Zk (M, K, R) is the Jones evaluated at q = exp(2xi/(k+2)). Ranging over all k gaves enough to to write down the Laurent poly. Classe: M? & 53 are very similar in this situation. Just mad aut gauge formstormation work dou't tix o. The answer differs by vel (G) which is indep of K

But if M & M' or S', it turns out that for most M, the Zkis only depend on this don't have natural continuations to fns af q , w/o lassing some 3 dim symmetry; eng it may depud on the projection of the knot. So the expectation values at the hilson operators in TR3 are special This means that perhaps this Chern-Somons approach is not very good or at least, deem't generalize to other 3-mtds. More on why CS is bad. Sheeted Let G=UI(1), persists in spacetime; have some material like popur -, FA*F - [] F? d3xdt Mmkonshi (local expressions) d'x dt volume of material t J (---) d³x dt bomdery I dan't see the petit here.

Seems like the boundary is an issuel. Even iF our 3-mid w has no bandary, A is a U(1) conn. I=inf E'sk A: d; Ak d3x is defined mad 27 But we may get something better defined: Use fact that all clear 3-milds are the bandary of some U-onfd. 5, say M4 & JM=W. let Fij = D; Aj - Dj Ai. Replace I w $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \varepsilon^{ijkl} F_{ij} F_{kl} d^{4} \mathbf{x}.$ This is completely gauge in a tent 3 is well-defined. Moneover: I = I (usd ZA). Upshat: It we find the right A-d TQFT, we can get a more satisfactory explanation of many the Sones poly orm't brusted to integers & but estend to q.

he have an identity: Chellenges; JWTr(AndA+ZANANA)=JMTLEAF) The RHS 3 indep of metric but 15 too degenerate" Seems the idea is: A physical supersymmetric theory is strongly compled is had to understand directly. If is constrained by an associated topological trell I thank physical theory means we have a metric, topological means it is independent of metric. Henry. Some work done to understand the Chern-Simons path Integral which makes analytic continuation evident. 6 Khovanov homology (categoritication et the Jones polynomial) 6 Volume Conjecture